

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,600	01/16/2004	Masao Hashimoto	163852020400 5379	
25227	7590 03/21/2006		EXAM	INER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			TOTH, KAREN E	
1650 TYSONS	S BOULEVARD		· ·	
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, V.	A 22102		3736	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

[]

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/758,600	HASHIMOTO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Karen E. Toth	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	nuary 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 5 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) Claim(s) 3,4 and 6-13 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access  Applicant may not request that any objection to the or  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3736

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Sullivan'043 (US Patent 5494043) in view of Chesney'188 (US Patent 6544188).

Art Unit: 3736

O'Sullivan'043 discloses an arterial sensor comprising a sensor unit (element 10) with a pressure sensitive portion (element 12) (column 4, lines 60-64); and a device for fixing a subject in place (element 50) (figure 5). Said sensor unit is connected to, and pressed against the subject by, a band (element 52) that has one portion mounted between the sensor unit and the fixing device, and a second portion mounted between the sensor unit and the fixing device on the opposite side of the sensor unit (figure 5).

O'Sullivan does not teach the presence of a tensioning part for pulling a band portion.

Chesney'188 teaches a pulse signal sensor apparatus comprising a fixing device (element 112) that is secured to a subject with straps (elements 114 and 117) that may be adjusted by pulling (column 6, lines 43-56) and are removablely mounted upon the fixing stand, so that the device may be removeably fastened to the fixing stand with said straps.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the arterial pulse sensor of O'Sullivan'043 with the removable fastening mechanism of Chesney'188 on the fastening bands because it is known in the art to allow removable fastening of bands for ease of using the device.

5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Sullivan'043 in view of Chesney'188.

Regarding Claim 2, O'Sullivan'043 in view of Chesney'188 teaches all the elements of the current invention, as discussed in paragraph 4 above, except for a fixing part for immovably fixing a first part of the fastening band on the fixing stand with the other end of the second band portion.

Art Unit: 3736

Chesney'188 further teaches that one end of the fastening band (elements 114 and 117) is attached to the fixing stand (Figure 1) to prevent detachment of the apparatus components.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have immovably fixed one end of the first portion of the fastening band of O'Sullivan'043 to the fixing stand, as shown by Chesney'188, to prevent detachment of the apparatus components.

### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-4 and 6-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the structure of Claims 3 and 4, including, *inter-alia*, the fixing part including a hook-and-loop fastener wherein the two band portions engage each other and are mounted on the fixing stand.

The prior art of record fails to anticipate or make obvious the structure of Claims 6-13, including, *inter-alia*, the first band portion of the fixing part having a first segment within the fixing stand and a second segment outside the fixing stand.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3736

US Patent Des. 406346 to Hirakawa, which discloses a wrist cuff sphygmomanometer with a sensor unit.

US Patent 6932772 to Kan, which discloses a blood pressure measuring apparatus comprising a sensor unit and wrist fixing device.

US Patent Application 2004/0010199 to Hashimoto, which discloses a pulse wave monitor comprising a sensor unit and fixing device.

US Patent 4409983 to Albert, which discloses a pulse measuring device comprising a sensor unit held to a fixture by straps.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karen E. Toth whose telephone number is 571-272-6824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3736

ket

MALLEN